

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,997	05/23/2005	Zhenping Zhu	1017.36759-US1	8859
20311 LUCAS & ME	7590 08/31/2007 RCANTI. LLP		EXAM	INER
	ENUE SOUTH		NATARAJA	N, MEERA
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

••						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/506,997	ZHU, ZHENPING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Meera Natarajan	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>ily 2007</u> .					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 September 2004</u> is/a		ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•					
•	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Danier No(s)/Mail Danier No(s)/Mail Danier Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/04/2004</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/506,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-17 in the reply filed on 07/09/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "the special technical feature of the present invention is a finding that neutralizing activation of KDR is related to angiogenesis. Neutralizing the activation of KDR is due to human antibodies of the present invention binding to KDR and blocking binding of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) to KDR. Thus, human antibodies of the present invention can be used for treating neoplastic diseases and hyperproliferative disorders. Since the corresponding special technical feature is the neutralizing activation of KDR, applicants believe that the inventions listed as Groups I and II form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1". This is not found persuasive because as stated in the restriction requirement the technical feature recited in claim 1, an antibody which binds selectively to KDR, is not special in view of Lu et al. Lu et al. (Int. J. of Cancer 2002 Jan 20; 97(3):393-9) teach a human antibody that binds selectively to KDR and therefore reads on Claim 1. In addition Claim 1 does not disclose neutralizing the activation of KDR. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 2. Claims 18-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 07/09/2007.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,997 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

3. Claims 1-17 will be examined on the merits.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The first line of the specification claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/361,783, however the date associated with the provisional "March 4, 2003" is incorrect. The disclosure should be corrected to show the correct date March 4, 2002.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 5 recites "antobody" in line 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lu et al. (Int. J. Cancer January 2002 vol. 97, p.393-399).

The Claims are drawn to isolated human antibody or fragment thereof which binds selectively to KDR and inhibits binding of VEGF to KDR.

Lu et al. teach several isolated high-affinity human Fab antibody fragments directed against KDR from an antibody phage display library. These human Fab fragments bind specifically to KDR and block KDR/VEGF interaction (see p. 398, right column, lines 8-12). Figure 1 of Lu et al. discloses the CDR sequences of several

Art Unit: 1643

human Fab clones. These sequences are identical to SEQ ID NOs: 1-18 and teach the same CDR sequences in each clone. Therefore the reference teaches each and every limitation of the claims.

7. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rockwell et al. (WO/2002/070008 March 2, 2001)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

The Claims are drawn to an isolated human antibody or fragment thereof which binds selectively to KDR, an isolated polynucleotide that encodes said antibody, an expression vector comprising said polynucleotide, and a recombinant host cell comprising said expression vector.

Rockwell et al. teach VEGF receptor antagonists (disclosed as anti-KDR see example 12) used to reduce or inhibit tumor growth in a mammal. Rockwell et al. teach the polynucleotides, expression vectors, and host cells needed to prepare the antibodies of the invention. Rockwell et al. also disclose the antibody fragments listed in Claim 2 (see section [98] of Rockwell et al.).

Application/Control Number: 10/506,997 Page 5

Art Unit: 1643

The SEQ ID NOs disclosed in the instant application are identical to the SEQ ID NOs disclosed in Rockwell et al. (see table 3 and 4). The reference teaches each and every limitation of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brekken et al. (Cancer Research Vol. 60 p.5117-5124, Sept. 2000) in view of Kucherlapati et al. (US Patent 6075181).

- 9. The claims are drawn to a human antibody or fragment thereof which binds selectively to KDR (also know as VEGFR-2, see specifications p. 7, line 11) and inhibits binding of VEGF to KDR.
- 10. Brekken et al. teach a mouse monoclonal antibody, 2C3, which blocks the interaction of VEGF with VEGFR-2 (also known as KDR) but not with VEGFR1 (see

Art Unit: 1643

Results p. 5119, left column). The 2C3 antibody was also shown to have potent antitumor activity, inhibiting the growth of newly injected and established human tumor xenografts in mice (see Fig. 5, p.5121). Brekken et al. suggest that 2C3 antibody and other anti-VEGF therapies exert their anti-tumor activation by preventing vascular remodeling and endothelial cell survival in addition to preventing endothelial cell proliferation in tumors. The finding that 2C3 suppressed tumor growth indicates a dominant role for VEGFR2 in promoting tumor angiogenesis. Brekken et al. does not teach a <u>human</u> antibody or fragment thereof which binds selectively to KDR and inhibits binding of VEGF to KDR. This deficiency is made up for in Kucherlapati et al.

- 11. Kucherlapati et al. teach a method of preparing antibodies with fully human variable regions against a specific antigen by administering the antigen to a transgenic animal which has been modified to produce such antibodes in response to antigenic challenge, but whose endogenous loci have been disabled.
- 12. It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to have produced a human 2C3 antibody, which blocks the interaction of VEGF with VEGFR-2, by the methods taught in Kucherlapati et al.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so with a reasonable expectation of success by the teachings in Kucherlapati et al. to make a human 2C3 antibody based on the findings of Brekken et al. that the 2C3 antibody had potent anti-tumor activity *in vivo* and could potentially be used for therapy.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,997

Art Unit: 1643

Page 7

Therefore, the invention as a whole is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made, as evidence by the reference.

Conclusion

13. Claims 1-17 are rejected.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Meera Natarajan whose telephone number is 571-270-

3058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:30AM-6:00PM,

ALT. Friday. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

MN

Current Application Sequences

WO 03/075840 PCT/US03/06459

between VEGF and KDR. Three were KDR-specific binders and one cross-reacted with Flk-1. DNA fingerprinting and sequencing analysis confirmed that all four KDR/VEGF blocking antibodies were different (Fig. 1A) with unique DNA and amino acid sequences.

[0101] The amino acid sequences for CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3 of $V_{\rm H}$ and $V_{\rm L}$ for the four clones are given in Table 1.

Table 1 - CDR sequences of selected KDR-binding human Fabs						
Clone	CDR1	CDR2	CDR3			
Light Cl	Light Chain					
D2C6	RASQSVSSYLA	DSSNRAT	LQHNTFPPT			
	(SEQ ID NO:1)	(SEQ ID NO:2)	(SEQ ID NO:3)			
D2H2	RASQGISSRLA	AASSLQT	QQANRFPPT			
	(SEQ ID NO:4)	(SEQ ID NO:5)	(SEQ ID NO:6)			
D1H4	AGTTTDLTYYDLVS	DGNKRPS	NSYVSSRFYV			
	(SEQ ID NO:7)	(SEQ ID NO:8)	(SEQ ID NO:9)			
D1F7	SGSTSNIGTNTAN	NNNQRPS	AAWDDSLNGHWV			
	(SEQ ID NO:10)	(SEQ ID NO:11)	(SEQ ID NO:12)			
Heavy Chain						
D2C6	GFTFSSYSMN	SISSSSYTYYADSVKG	VTDAFDI			
	(SEQ ID NO:13)	(SEQ ID NO:14)	(SEQ ID NO:15)			
D2H2	ĢFTFSSYSMN	SISSSSYTYYADSVKG	VTDAFDI			
	(SEQ ID NO:13)	(SEQ ID NO:14)	(SEQ ID NO:15)			
D1H4	GFTFSSYSMN	SISSSSYIYYADSVKG	VTDAFDI			
	(SEQ ID NO:13)	(SEQ ID NO:14)	(SEQ ID NO:15)			
D1F7	GGTFSSYAIS	GGIIPIFGTANYAQKFQG	GYDYYDSSGVASPFDY			
	(SEQ ID NO:16)	(SEQ ID NO:17)	(SEQ ID NO:18)			

Complete sequences for the V_H and V_L chains are presented in the Sequence Listing. For D1F7, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_H are represented by SEQ ID NOS:19 and 20 respectively, and the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 21 and 22.

WO 03/075840 PCT/US03/06459

[0102] For D2C6, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_H are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 23 and 24 respectively, and the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 25 and 26.

- [0103] For D2H2, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_H are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 30 and 31 respectively, and the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 32 and 33.
- [0104] For D1H4, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_H are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 27 and 24 respectively, and the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 28 and 29.
- [0105] A second library was created combining the single heavy chain of D2C6 with a diverse population of light chains derived from the original library. Ten additional Fabs were identified, designated SA1, SA3, SB10, SB5, SC7, SD2, SD5, SF2, SF7, and 1121. The nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L of the ten Fabs are represented as follows. For SA1, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 34 and 35. For SA3, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 36 and 37. For SB10, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 38 and 39. For SB5, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 40 and 41. For SC7, the nucleotide and amino acid. sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 42 and 43. For SD2, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 44 and 45. For SD5, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 46 and 47. For SF2, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 48 and 49. For SF7, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 50 and 51. For 1121, the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for V_L are represented by SEQ ID NOS: 52 and 53.
 - [0106] The V_L CDR sequences are presented in Table 2.

WO 03/075840 PCT/US03/06459

Table 2 - Light chain CDR sequences of KDR-binding human Fabs					
Clone	CDR1	CDR2	CDR3		
SA1	TGSHSNFGAGTDV	GDSNRPS	QSYDYGLRGWV		
	(SEQ ID NO:54)	(SEQ ID NO:55)	(SEQ ID NO:56)		
SA3	RASQNINNYLN	AASTLQS	QQYSRYPPT		
	(SEQ ID NO:57)	(SEQ ID NO:58)	(SEQ ID NO:59)		
SB10	TGSSTDVGNYNYIS	DVTSRPS	NSYSATDTLV		
	(SEQ ID NO:60)	(SEQ ID NO:61)	(SEQ ID NO:62)		
SB5	TGQSSNIGADYDVH	GHNNRPS	QSYDSSLSGLV		
	(SEQ ID NO:63)	(SEQ ID NO:64)	(SEQ ID NO:65)		
SC7	RASQDISSWLA	AASLLQS	QQADSFPPT		
	(SEQ ID NO:66)	(SEQ ID NO:67)	(SEQ ID NO:68)		
SD2	RASQSIKRWLA	AASTLQS	QQANSFPPT		
·	(SEQ ID NO:69)	(SEQ ID NO:70)	(SEQ ID NO:71)		
SD5	SGSRSNIGAHYEVQ	GDTNRPS	QSYDTSLRGPV		
_	(SEQ ID NO:72)	(SEQ ID NO:73)	(SEQ ID NO:74)		
SF2	TGSSSNIGTGYDVH	AYTNRPS	QSFDDSLNGLV		
	(SEQ ID NO:75)	(SEQ ID NO:76)	(SEQ ID NO:77)		
SF7	TGSHSNFGAGTDVH	GDTHRPS	QSYDYGLRGWV		
	(SEQ ID NO:78)	(SEQ ID NO:79)	(SEQ ID NO:80)		
1121	RASQGIDNWLG	DASNLDT	QQAKAFPPT		
	(SEQ ID NO:81)	(SEQ ID NO:82)	(SEQ ID NO:83)		

Example II. Assays

Example II(a). Quantitative KDR binding and blocking of KDR/VEGF interaction.

[0107] In a direct binding assay, various amounts of soluble Fab proteins were added to KDR-coated 96-well Maxi-sorp microtiter plates and incubated at RT for 1 h, after which the plates were washed 3 times with PBST. The plates were then incubated at RT for 1 h with 100 μ l of a rabbit anti-human Fab antibody-HRP conjugate (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratory Inc., West Grove, PA). The plates were washed and developed following the procedure described above for the phage ELISA. In a competitive KDR/VEGF blocking assay, various amounts of Fab proteins were mixed with a fixed amount of KDR-AP